

A three-dimensional reduced MHD model consistent with full MHD

Nikita Nikulsin, Matthias Hoelzl, Alessandro Zocco, Sibylle Guenter and Karl Lackner

Acknowledgements to: Omar Maj, Florian Hindenlang, Per Helander, Boniface Nkonga, Guido Huijsmans, Erika Strumberger, Alessandro Biancalani and Rohan Ramasamy









This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

• A quasi-axisymmetric stellarator satisfies the constraint $|\mathbf{B}| = f(\psi, \theta)$, where ψ and θ are Boozer radiallike and poloidal-like coordinates



- A quasi-axisymmetric stellarator satisfies the constraint |B| = f(ψ,θ), where ψ and θ are Boozer radiallike and poloidal-like coordinates
- The device is set up so that a particle traveling along a field line only "sees" a tokamak-like variation of the field magnitude



Field lines in a quasi-axisymmetric stellarator (Henneberg, et al). Field strength is indicated by color.



- A quasi-axisymmetric stellarator satisfies the constraint |**B**| = f(ψ,θ), where ψ and θ are Boozer radiallike and poloidal-like coordinates
- The device is set up so that a particle traveling along a field line only "sees" a tokamak-like variation of the field magnitude
- Thus, neoclassical transport should be comparable to that in a tokamak (Henneberg, et al). Field strength is indicated by color.





- The device is set up so that a particle traveling along a field line only "sees" a tokamak-like variation of the field magnitude
- Thus, neoclassical transport should Field lines in a quasi-axisymmetric stellarator be comparable to that in a tokamak (Henneberg, et al). Field strength is indicated by color.
- Quasi-axisymmetric stellarators tend to have large bootstrap currents, which make a noticeable contribution to the rotational transform
- The presence of a significant plasma current raises the question of whether quasiaxisymmetric stellarators are prone to disruptions

- A quasi-axisymmetric stellarator satisfies the constraint |B| = f(ψ,θ), where ψ and θ are Boozer radiallike and poloidal-like coordinates
- The device is set up so that a particle traveling along a field line only "sees" a tokamak-like variation of the field magnitude
- Thus, neoclassical transport should be comparable to that in a tokamak (Henneberg, et al). Field strength is indicated by color.
- Quasi-axisymmetric stellarators tend to have large bootstrap currents, which make a noticeable contribution to the rotational transform
- The presence of a significant plasma current raises the question of whether quasiaxisymmetric stellarators are prone to disruptions
- For the present work, we derive reduced MHD models suitable for stellarator geometries. This aims at future computational studies addressing the question of disruptions.



• Nonlinear MHD simulations are computationally expensive



- Nonlinear MHD simulations are computationally expensive
- Reduced MHD models are less expensive
 - Removal of fast magnetosonic waves (larger time step)
 - Reduction of number of unknowns (less memory required)



- Nonlinear MHD simulations are computationally expensive
- Reduced MHD models are less expensive
 - Removal of fast magnetosonic waves (larger time step)
 - Reduction of number of unknowns (less memory required)
- Available reduced models are either tokamak-specific, or do not satisfy div B = 0, or both



- Nonlinear MHD simulations are computationally expensive
- Reduced MHD models are less expensive
 - Removal of fast magnetosonic waves (larger time step)
 - Reduction of number of unknowns (less memory required)
- Available reduced models are either tokamak-specific, or do not satisfy div B = 0, or both
- In the present work, a stellarator-capable model with div B = 0 was derived without using an ordering, where the reduction explicitly zeros out fast waves



- Nonlinear MHD simulations are computationally expensive
- Reduced MHD models are less expensive
 - Removal of fast magnetosonic waves (larger time step)
 - Reduction of number of unknowns (less memory required)
- Available reduced models are either tokamak-specific, or do not satisfy div B = 0, or both
- In the present work, a stellarator-capable model with div B = 0 was derived without using an ordering, where the reduction explicitly zeros out fast waves
- This model is mostly a generalization of the tokamak-specific model by Breslau et al (Steven Jardin's group, PPPL) and a similar model by Izzo et al



• We take the viscoresistive MHD equations with anisotropic heat flow and mass diffusion, as shown above, as the base equations and begin our derivation from them

Starting point equations



$$\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Continuity:} & \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = P, \\ \mbox{Navier-Stokes:} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \vec{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v} \vec{v}) = \vec{j} \times \vec{B} - \nabla p + \rho \nu \Delta \vec{v}, \\ \mbox{Energy:} \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} \right) \vec{v} + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} \frac{D_{\perp}}{\rho} \nabla_{\perp} \rho + \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{\mu_0} - \kappa_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} T - \kappa_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} T \right] = S_e - \frac{v^2}{2} P, \\ \mbox{Faraday's Law:} & \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \vec{E}, \\ \nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{j}, \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0, \quad \vec{E} = -\vec{v} \times \vec{B} + \eta \vec{j}, \quad P = \nabla \cdot (D_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \rho) + S_{\rho}. \\ \mbox{Ampere's Law} & \mbox{Gauss's} & \mbox{Ohm's Law} & \mbox{Diffusion and source terms} \\ \mbox{Law for B} \end{array}$$

• We take the viscoresistive MHD equations with anisotropic heat flow and mass diffusion, as shown above, as the base equations and begin our derivation from them

Starting point equations



$$\begin{array}{lll} & \text{Continuity:} \quad \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = P, \\ & \text{Navier-Stokes:} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \vec{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v} \vec{v}) = \vec{j} \times \vec{B} - \nabla p + \rho \nu \Delta \vec{v}, \\ & \text{Energy:} \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} \right) \vec{v} + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} \frac{D_{\perp}}{\rho} \nabla_{\perp} \rho + \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{\mu_0} - \kappa_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} T - \kappa_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} T \right] = S_e - \frac{v^2}{2} P, \\ & \text{Faraday's Law:} \quad \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \vec{E}, \\ & \nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{j}, \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0, \quad \vec{E} = -\vec{v} \times \vec{B} + \eta \vec{j}, \quad P = \nabla \cdot (D_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} \rho) + S_{\rho}. \\ & \text{Ampere's Law} \quad \text{Gauss's} \\ & \text{Law for B} \end{array}$$

- We take the viscoresistive MHD equations with anisotropic heat flow and mass diffusion, as shown above, as the base equations and begin our derivation from them
- Blue terms show the specific form we use for non-ideal effects

Starting point equations



$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Continuity:} & \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = P, \\ \text{Navier-Stokes:} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \vec{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{v} \vec{v}) = \vec{j} \times \vec{B} - \nabla p + \rho \nu \Delta \vec{v}, \\ & \text{Energy:} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} \right) \vec{v} + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} \frac{D_\perp}{\rho} \nabla_\perp \rho + \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{\mu_0} - \kappa_\perp \nabla_\perp T - \kappa_\parallel \nabla_\parallel T \right] = S_e - \frac{v^2}{2} P, \\ & \text{Faraday's Law:} & \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \vec{E}, \\ \nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{j}, \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0, \quad \vec{E} = -\vec{v} \times \vec{B} + \eta \vec{j}, \quad P = \nabla \cdot (D_\perp \nabla_\perp \rho) + S_\rho. \\ & \text{Ampere's Law} & \text{Gauss's} & \text{Ohm's Law} & \text{Diffusion and source terms} \\ & \text{Law for B} \\ & \nabla_\parallel = \frac{\vec{B}}{B^2} \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \qquad \nabla_\perp = \nabla - \nabla_\parallel \qquad \partial^\parallel = \frac{\nabla \chi}{B_v} \cdot \nabla \qquad \nabla^\perp = \nabla - \frac{\nabla \chi}{B_v} \partial^\parallel \end{array}$$

- We take the viscoresistive MHD equations with anisotropic heat flow and mass diffusion, as shown above, as the base equations and begin our derivation from them
- Blue terms show the specific form we use for non-ideal effects

Clebsch-type coordinates



• Any divergence-free field can be written as

 $\vec{B} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta.$

Clebsch-type coordinates



- Any divergence-free field can be written as $\vec{B} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta.$
- Let χ be the magnetic scalar potential, then $\nabla \chi$ is the vacuum field and **B** $\nabla \chi$ is the induced field

- Any divergence-free field can be written as $\vec{B} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta$.
- Let χ be the magnetic scalar potential, then $\nabla \chi$ is the vacuum field and **B** $\nabla \chi$ is the induced field
- The curvilinear non-orthogonal coordinate system
 (α, β, χ) is a Clebsch-type system aligned to B

$$\vec{e}^{\ \alpha} \equiv \nabla \alpha, \qquad \vec{e}^{\ \beta} \equiv \nabla \beta, \qquad \vec{e}^{\ \chi} \equiv \nabla \chi,$$

 $\vec{e}_{\alpha} \equiv J \nabla \beta \times \nabla \chi, \quad \vec{e}_{\beta} \equiv J \nabla \chi \times \nabla \alpha, \quad \vec{e}_{\chi} \equiv J \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta.$

European Fusion Theory Conference 2019

 \vec{e} ; \vec{e}^2 , $\vec{e}^3 \perp$ to surfaces \vec{e}_1 , \vec{e}_2 , $\vec{e}_3 //$ to curves

William D D'haeseleer, et al. Flux coordinates and magnetic field structure.



u^l = c



Clebsch-type coordinates

- Any divergence-free field can be written as $\vec{B} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta.$
- Let χ be the magnetic scalar potential, then $\nabla \chi$ is the vacuum field and **B** - $\nabla \chi$ is the induced field
- The curvilinear non-orthogonal coordinate system (α, β, χ) is a Clebsch-type system aligned to **B**

$$\vec{e}^{\ \alpha} \equiv \nabla \alpha, \qquad \vec{e}^{\ \beta} \equiv \nabla \beta, \qquad \vec{e}^{\ \chi} \equiv \nabla \chi,$$

 $\vec{e}_{\alpha} \equiv J \nabla \beta \times \nabla \chi, \quad \vec{e}_{\beta} \equiv J \nabla \chi \times \nabla \alpha, \quad \vec{e}_{\chi} \equiv J \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta.$ William D D'haeseleer, et al. Flux coordinates

We will denote the vacuum field as $\mathbf{B}_{v} = \nabla \chi = \nabla \psi_{v} \times \nabla \beta_{v}$ and the total field as $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_{v} + \mathbf{B}_{ind} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta$



e,e2,e3 // to curves

and magnetic field structure.



Clebsch-type coordinates

- Any divergence-free field can be written as $\vec{B} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta$.
- Let χ be the magnetic scalar potential, then $\nabla \chi$ is the vacuum field and **B** $\nabla \chi$ is the induced field
- The curvilinear non-orthogonal coordinate system
 (α, β, χ) is a Clebsch-type system aligned to B

$$\vec{e}^{\ \alpha} \equiv \nabla \alpha, \qquad \vec{e}^{\ \beta} \equiv \nabla \beta, \qquad \vec{e}^{\ \chi} \equiv \nabla \chi,$$

$$u^2 = C_5$$

$$u_1 = C_1$$

$$u_2 = C_2$$

$$u_3 = C_3$$

$$u_5 = C_3$$

$$u_5$$

. u³

ē¦ē²,ē³⊥to surfaces ēµē₂,ē₃∥to curves

 $\vec{e}_{\alpha} \equiv J \nabla \beta \times \nabla \chi, \quad \vec{e}_{\beta} \equiv J \nabla \chi \times \nabla \alpha, \quad \vec{e}_{\chi} \equiv J \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta.$ William D D'haeseleer, et al. Flux coordinates and magnetic field structure. We will denote the vacuum field as $\mathbf{B}_{v} = \nabla \chi = \nabla \psi_{v} \times \nabla \beta_{v}$ and the total field as

 $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_{v} + \mathbf{B}_{ind} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta$

There is another coordinate system (ψ_v, β_v, χ) which is aligned to B_v; we use
 b for this system's basis vectors



• Let the induced vector potential be

 $\vec{A} = \Psi \nabla \chi + \Omega \nabla \psi_v,$

• The third component was removed by gauge transform

• Let the induced vector potential be \vec{J}

 $\vec{A} = \Psi \nabla \chi + \Omega \nabla \psi_v,$

- The third component was removed by gauge transform
- Total magnetic field (exact):

 $\vec{B} = \nabla \chi + \nabla \Psi \times \nabla \chi + \nabla \Omega \times \nabla \psi_v$

- $\nabla \chi$ - background vacuum field (dominant), Ψ - field line bending, Ω - magnetic field compression (due to ζ)

• Let the induced vector potential be

 $\vec{A} = \Psi \nabla \chi + \Omega \nabla \psi_v,$

- The third component was removed by gauge transform
- Total magnetic field (exact):

 $\vec{B} = \nabla \chi + \nabla \Psi \times \nabla \chi + \nabla \Omega \times \nabla \psi_v$

- $\nabla \chi$ background vacuum field (dominant), Ψ field line bending, Ω - magnetic field compression (due to ζ)
- The Ω term also contains a correction to field line bending $(\nabla^{\parallel} \Omega \times \nabla \psi_{\nu})$, but the Ψ term is dominant

• Let the induced vector potential be

 $\vec{A} = \Psi \nabla \chi + \Omega \nabla \psi_v,$

- The third component was removed by gauge transform
- Total magnetic field (exact):

 $\vec{B} = \nabla \chi + \nabla \Psi \times \nabla \chi + \nabla \Omega \times \nabla \psi_v$

- $\nabla \chi$ background vacuum field (dominant), Ψ field line bending, Ω - magnetic field compression (due to ζ)
- The Ω term also contains a correction to field line bending $(\nabla^{\parallel} \Omega \times \nabla \psi_{\nu})$, but the Ψ term is dominant
- Velocity field (exact):

$$\vec{v} = \frac{\nabla \Phi \times \nabla \chi}{B_v^2} + v_{\parallel} \vec{B} + \nabla^{\perp} \zeta$$

Terms correspond to ExB velocity, parallel flow and fluid compression



- Let the induced vector potential be $\vec{A} = \Psi \nabla \chi + \Omega \nabla \psi_v,$
- The third component was removed by gauge transform
- Total magnetic field (exact):

 $\vec{B} = \nabla \chi + \nabla \Psi \times \nabla \chi + \nabla \Omega \times \nabla \psi_v$

- $\nabla \chi$ background vacuum field (dominant), Ψ field line bending, Ω - magnetic field compression (due to ζ)
- The Ω term also contains a correction to field line bending $(\nabla^{\parallel} \Omega \times \nabla \psi_{\nu})$, but the Ψ term is dominant
- Velocity field (exact):

 $\vec{v} = \frac{\nabla \Phi \times \nabla \chi}{B_v^2} + v_{\parallel} \vec{B} + \nabla^{\perp} \zeta$

- Terms correspond to ExB velocity, parallel flow and fluid compression
- Terms contain Alfven waves, slow magnetosonic waves and fast magnetosonic waves



own work



- Let the induced vector potential be $\vec{A} = \Psi \nabla \chi + \Omega \nabla \psi_v,$
- The third component was removed by gauge transform
- Total magnetic field (exact):

 $\vec{B} = \nabla \chi + \nabla \Psi \times \nabla \chi + \nabla \Omega \times \nabla \psi_v$

- $\nabla \chi$ background vacuum field (dominant), Ψ field line bending, Ω - magnetic field compression (due to ζ)
- The Ω term also contains a correction to field line bending $(\nabla^{\parallel} \Omega \times \nabla \psi_{\nu})$, but the Ψ term is dominant
- Velocity field (exact):

 $\vec{v} = \frac{\nabla \Phi \times \nabla \chi}{B_v^2} + v_{\parallel} \vec{B} + \nabla^{\perp} \zeta$

- Terms correspond to ExB velocity, parallel flow and fluid compression
- Terms contain Alfven waves, slow magnetosonic waves and fast magnetosonic waves
- First and last terms both capture pressure- and currentdriven instabilities

Perturbed B field Equilibrium B field Alfven wave Total B field J. Friedberg. Ideal MHD. Equilibrium pressure contours Perturbed pressure slow Combined wave pressure contours J. Friedberg. Ideal MHD. Perturbed **B** field Equilibrium B field fast Total **B** field wave





Derivation procedure

• Use the continuity and energy equations directly (plug in velocity and magnetic field)

Derivation procedure



- Use the continuity and energy equations directly (plug in velocity and magnetic field)
- Project Faraday's law on $\nabla \chi$ and $\nabla \psi_{\nu}$

09/30/2019

Derivation procedure

- Use the continuity and energy equations directly (plug in velocity and magnetic field)
- Project Faraday's law on $\nabla \chi$ and $\nabla \psi_{v}$
- Apply the following projection operators on the Navier-Stokes equation after dividing by ρ, and inserting the RHS of the continuity equation

 $abla \chi \cdot
abla imes [
abla \chi imes (ec{e}_{\chi} imes
abla ec{e}_{\chi} imes
abla ec{e}_{\chi} imes
abla ec{e}_{\chi} imes
abla ec{e}_{\chi} imes (ec{e}_{\chi} imes
abla ec{e}_{\chi} imes
abla imes
abla ec{e}_{\chi} imes
abla im$

• The operators produce equations for Φ , v_{μ} and ζ , respectively



09/30/2019

Derivation procedure

- Use the continuity and energy equations directly (plug in velocity and magnetic field)
- Project Faraday's law on $\nabla \chi$ and $\nabla \psi_{v}$
- Apply the following projection operators on the Navier-Stokes equation after dividing by ρ , and inserting the RHS of the continuity equation $\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla \times [\nabla \chi \times (\vec{e}_{\chi} \times$

 $abla \chi \cdot
abla
abla \cdot [B_v^2
abla \chi imes (ec{e}_\chi imes ec{e}_\chi imes ec$

- The operators produce equations for Φ , v_{11} and ζ , respectively
- Commutator between projection operator and $v\Delta$ neglected



Derivation procedure

- Use the continuity and energy equations directly (plug in velocity and magnetic field)
- Project Faraday's law on $\nabla \chi$ and $\nabla \psi_{\nu}$
- Apply the following projection operators on the Navier-Stokes equation after dividing by ρ, and inserting the RHS of the continuity equation

- The operators produce equations for Φ , v_{μ} and ζ , respectively
- Commutator between projection operator and $v\Delta$ neglected

European Fusion Theory Conference 2019

• Resulting equations are still full MHD

31



Resulting equations (full MHD)



$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Continuity:} \\ & \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -B_v \left[\frac{\rho}{B_v^2}, \Phi \right] - B_v \partial^{\parallel}(\rho v_{\parallel}) - B_v [\rho v_{\parallel}, \Psi] - F_v [\rho v_{\parallel}, \Omega]_{\psi_v} - (\rho, \zeta) - \rho \Delta^{\perp} \zeta + P, \\ & \mathsf{Energy:} \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) = -B_v \left[\frac{1}{B_v^2} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right), \Phi \right] - B_v \partial^{\parallel} \left[v_{\parallel} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{B}}{\mu_0} \right] \\ & - B_v \left[v_{\parallel} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{B}}{\mu_0}, \Psi \right] - F_v \left[v_{\parallel} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{B}}{\mu_0}, \Omega \right]_{\psi_v} - \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right) \zeta + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \zeta \right) \\ & - \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right) \Delta^{\perp} \zeta - \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \cdot (\eta \vec{j} \times \vec{B}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\frac{p}{\gamma - 1} \frac{D_\perp}{\rho} \left(\nabla \rho - \frac{\vec{B}}{B^2} (B_v \partial^{\parallel} \rho + B_v [\rho, \Psi] + F_v [\rho, \Omega]_{\psi_v} \right) \right) \\ & + \frac{\kappa_\perp}{R} \nabla \left(\frac{p}{\rho} \right) + \frac{(\kappa_\parallel - \kappa_\perp) \vec{B}}{RB^2} \left(B_v \partial^{\parallel} \left(\frac{p}{\rho} \right) + B_v \left[\frac{p}{\rho}, \Psi \right] + F_v \left[\frac{p}{\rho}, \Omega \right]_{\psi_v} \right) \right] + S_e - \frac{v^2}{2} P, \end{aligned}$

Magnetic potential:

European Fusion Theory Conference 2019

Resulting equations (full MHD, continued)



European Fusion Theory Conference 2019

Resulting equations (full MHD, continued)



ζ equation:

$$\begin{split} B_{v}^{2}\Delta^{\perp}\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t} &+ \left(B_{v}^{2},\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t}\right) + B_{v}\left[v_{\parallel}B_{v}^{2},\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}\right] + F_{v}\left[v_{\parallel}B_{v}^{2},\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial t}\right]_{\psi_{v}} - B_{v}\partial^{\parallel}\left(\frac{v_{\parallel}B_{v}^{2}}{B_{v}+[\Omega,\psi_{v}]}\left[\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial t},\psi_{v}\right]\right)\right) \\ &- B_{v}\left[\frac{v_{\parallel}B_{v}^{2}}{B_{v}+[\Omega,\psi_{v}]}\left[\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial t},\psi_{v}\right],\Psi\right] - F_{v}\left[\frac{v_{\parallel}B_{v}^{2}}{B_{v}+[\Omega,\psi_{v}]}\left[\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial t},\psi_{v}\right],\Omega\right]_{\psi_{v}} = \nabla\cdot\left[B_{v}^{2}v_{\chi}\nabla\chi\times\vec{\omega} - \frac{B_{v}^{2}}{2}\nabla v^{2}\right] \\ &- B_{v}^{2}\omega_{\chi}(\nabla^{\perp}\Phi + v_{\parallel}B_{v}^{2}\nabla^{\perp}\Psi - v_{\parallel}B_{v}\partial^{\parallel}\Omega\nabla\psi_{v} + \nabla\chi\times\nabla\zeta) - (\nabla\Phi\times\nabla\chi + B_{v}^{2}\nabla^{\perp}\zeta)\frac{P}{\rho} - \frac{B_{v}B^{2}}{\rho(B_{v}+[\Omega,\psi_{v}])}\nabla\chi\times\vec{j} \\ &+ \frac{B_{v}^{3}j_{\chi}}{\rho}(B_{v}\nabla^{\perp}\Psi - \partial^{\parallel}\Omega\nabla\psi_{v}) + \frac{B_{v}^{2}(\nabla\chi + \nabla\Psi\times\nabla\chi + \nabla\Omega\times\nabla\psi_{v})\partial^{\parallel}v^{2}}{2(B_{v}+[\Omega,\psi_{v}])} - \frac{B_{v}^{2}\partial^{\parallel}p}{\rho(B_{v}+[\Omega,\psi_{v}])} - \nabla\left(\frac{B_{v}^{2}}{\rho}\right)\cdot\nablap \\ &+ B_{v}\partial^{\parallel}\left(\frac{B_{v}^{2}\partial^{\parallel}p}{\rho(B_{v}+[\Omega,\psi_{v}])}\right) + B_{v}\left[\frac{B_{v}^{2}\partial^{\parallel}p}{\rho(B_{v}+[\Omega,\psi_{v}])},\Psi\right] + F_{v}\left[\frac{B_{v}^{2}\partial^{\parallel}p}{\rho(B_{v}+[\Omega,\psi_{v}])},\Omega\right]_{\psi_{v}} + \nu B_{v}^{2}\Delta\Delta^{\perp}\zeta. \end{split}$$

Reduction procedure



- Set $\zeta = \Omega = 0$ to remove fast magnetosonic waves and magnetic field compression
- Drop equations for ζ and Ω

Reduction procedure



- Set $\zeta = \Omega = 0$ to remove fast magnetosonic waves and magnetic field compression
- Drop equations for ζ and Ω
- We now have

$$\begin{split} P &= \nabla \cdot \left[D_{\perp} \nabla \rho - \frac{D_{\perp} \vec{B}}{B^2} (B_v \partial^{\parallel} \rho + B_v [\rho, \Psi]) \right] + S_{\rho}, \\ \vec{B} &= \nabla \chi + \nabla \Psi \times \nabla \chi, \\ \vec{v} &= \frac{\nabla \Phi \times \nabla \chi}{B_v^2} + v_{\parallel} \vec{B}, \\ \vec{j} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} [-\nabla \chi \Delta \Psi + B_v \partial^{\parallel} \nabla \Psi - (\nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla) \nabla \chi], \\ \vec{\omega} &= \nabla \times \vec{v} = -\nabla \chi \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \Phi}{B_v^2} \right) + B_v \partial^{\parallel} \frac{\nabla \Phi}{B_v^2} - \frac{1}{B_v^2} (\nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla) \nabla \chi + \nabla v_{\parallel} \times \vec{B} + v_{\parallel} \vec{j}. \end{split}$$

Reduction procedure



- Set ζ = Ω = 0 to remove fast magnetosonic waves and magnetic field compression
- Drop equations for ζ and Ω
- We now have

$$\begin{split} P &= \nabla \cdot \left[D_{\perp} \nabla \rho - \frac{D_{\perp} \vec{B}}{B^2} (B_v \partial^{\parallel} \rho + B_v [\rho, \Psi]) \right] + S_{\rho}, \\ \vec{B} &= \nabla \chi + \nabla \Psi \times \nabla \chi, \\ \vec{v} &= \frac{\nabla \Phi \times \nabla \chi}{B_v^2} + v_{\parallel} \vec{B}, \\ \vec{j} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} [-\nabla \chi \Delta \Psi + B_v \partial^{\parallel} \nabla \Psi - (\nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla) \nabla \chi], \\ \vec{\omega} &= \nabla \times \vec{v} = -\nabla \chi \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \Phi}{B_v^2} \right) + B_v \partial^{\parallel} \frac{\nabla \Phi}{B_v^2} - \frac{1}{B_v^2} (\nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla) \nabla \chi + \nabla v_{\parallel} \times \vec{B} + v_{\parallel} \vec{j}. \end{split}$$

• In some cases, one can also set $v_{||} = 0$ and drop the $v_{||}$ equation

Reduced equations



$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -B_v \left[\frac{\rho}{B_v^2}, \Phi \right] - B_v \partial^{\parallel}(\rho v_{\parallel}) - B_v [\rho v_{\parallel}, \Psi] + P, \\ & \text{Energy:} \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) = -B_v \left[\frac{1}{B_v^2} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right), \Phi \right] - B_v \partial^{\parallel} \left[v_{\parallel} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{B}}{\mu_0} \right] \\ & - B_v \left[v_{\parallel} \left(\frac{\rho v^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{B}}{\mu_0}, \Psi \right] + \nabla \cdot \left[\frac{p}{\gamma - 1} \frac{D_\perp}{\rho} \left(\nabla \rho - \frac{\vec{B}}{B^2} (B_v \partial^{\parallel} \rho + B_v [\rho, \Psi]) \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\kappa_\perp}{R} \nabla \left(\frac{p}{\rho} \right) + \frac{(\kappa_\parallel - \kappa_\perp) \vec{B}}{RB^2} \left(B_v \partial^{\parallel} \left(\frac{p}{\rho} \right) + B_v \left[\frac{p}{\rho}, \Psi \right] \right) \right] - \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \cdot (\eta \vec{j} \times \vec{B}) + S_e - \frac{v^2}{2}P \end{aligned}$

Magnetic potential:

$$\left[\psi_{v}, \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}\right] = \left[\frac{[\Psi, \Phi] - \partial^{\parallel} \Phi}{B_{v}}, \psi_{v}\right] + \frac{1}{B_{v}} \nabla \cdot \left(\eta \nabla \psi_{v} \times \vec{j}\right)$$

 Φ equation:

$$\Delta^{\perp} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \left(v_{\parallel} B_v^2, \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right) + v_{\parallel} B_v^2 \Delta^{\perp} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[\frac{B_v \partial^{\parallel} v^2}{2} \nabla^{\perp} \Psi + \omega_{\chi} (\nabla \Phi \times \nabla \chi + B_v^2 v_{\parallel} \nabla \Psi \times \nabla \chi) - v_{\chi} B_v^2 \vec{\omega}^{\perp} + \frac{B^2}{\rho} \vec{j}^{\perp} - \frac{j_{\chi} B_v^2}{\rho} \nabla \Psi \times \nabla \chi - \frac{P}{\rho} \nabla^{\perp} \Phi \right] + B_v \left[\frac{1}{\rho}, p \right] + \left(\frac{B_v \partial^{\parallel} p}{\rho}, \Psi \right) + \frac{B_v \partial^{\parallel} p}{\rho} \Delta^{\perp} \Psi + \nu \Delta \Delta^{\perp} \Phi$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{\perp} \text{ equation:}$$

$$\frac{\partial v_{\parallel}}{\partial t} = -\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\rho}P + \frac{1}{B_v} \Big[\frac{\vec{\omega} \cdot \nabla^{\perp} \Phi}{B_v} + B_v v_{\parallel} \vec{\omega} \cdot \nabla^{\perp} \Psi - \frac{\partial^{\parallel} v^2}{2} - \frac{B_v \vec{j} \cdot \nabla^{\perp} \Psi - \partial^{\parallel} p}{\rho} \Big] + \nu \Delta v_{\parallel},$$

09/30/2019

European Fusion Theory Conference 2019

(Non-)Conservation properties



• Mass and energy conservation are exact since those equations are used directly, without neglecting terms

(Non-)Conservation properties



- Mass and energy conservation are exact since those equations are used directly, without neglecting terms
- Components of Faraday's law and Navier-Stokes equation are dropped in reduction
- This leads to non-conservation of momentum and additional non-conservation of flux

(Non-)Conservation properties



- Mass and energy conservation are exact since those equations are used directly, without neglecting terms
- Components of Faraday's law and Navier-Stokes equation are dropped in reduction
- This leads to non-conservation of momentum and additional non-conservation of flux
- Importantly, reduced MHD approximation is only valid when the dropped terms are small, as discussed on the following slides



• Magnetic flux through a surface *S*(*t*) (advected with the plasma) is defined as

$$\psi = \int\limits_{S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S},$$





 Magnetic flux through a surface S(t) (advected with the plasma) is defined as

$$\psi = \int\limits_{S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S},$$

 Taking the time derivative, applying Faraday's and Ohm's laws and Stokes' theorem:

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \int\limits_{S(t)} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{S} + \oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{v} \times d\vec{l}) = - \oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \eta \vec{j} \cdot d\vec{l},$$



• Magnetic flux through a surface *S*(*t*) (advected with the plasma) is defined as

$$\psi = \int\limits_{S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S},$$

 Taking the time derivative, applying Faraday's and Ohm's laws and Stokes' theorem:

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \int\limits_{S(t)} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{S} + \oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{v} \times d\vec{l}) = -\oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \eta \vec{j} \cdot d\vec{l},$$

- Thus non-conservation is locally proportional to resistivity.



• Magnetic flux through a surface *S*(*t*) (advected with the plasma) is defined as

$$\psi = \int\limits_{S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S},$$

 Taking the time derivative, applying Faraday's and Ohm's laws and Stokes' theorem:

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \int\limits_{S(t)} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{S} + \oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{v} \times d\vec{l}) = - \oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \eta \vec{j} \cdot d\vec{l},$$

- Thus non-conservation is locally proportional to resistivity.

• Setting $\zeta = \Omega = 0$, the Ω equation is

 $0 = \nabla \cdot (\eta \nabla \chi \times \vec{j}),$



• Magnetic flux through a surface *S*(*t*) (advected with the plasma) is defined as

$$\psi = \int\limits_{S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S},$$

 Taking the time derivative, applying Faraday's and Ohm's laws and Stokes' theorem:

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \int\limits_{S(t)} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{S} + \oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{v} \times d\vec{l}) = - \oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \eta \vec{j} \cdot d\vec{l},$$

- Thus non-conservation is locally proportional to resistivity.

• Setting $\zeta = \Omega = 0$, the Ω equation is

$$0 = \nabla \cdot (\eta \nabla \chi \times \vec{j}),$$

- Thus, the reduction error is also locally proportional to resistivity



• Magnetic flux through a surface *S*(*t*) (advected with the plasma) is defined as

$$\psi = \int\limits_{S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S},$$

 Taking the time derivative, applying Faraday's and Ohm's laws and Stokes' theorem:

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \int\limits_{S(t)} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{S} + \oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{v} \times d\vec{l}) = - \oint\limits_{\partial S(t)} \eta \vec{j} \cdot d\vec{l},$$

- Thus non-conservation is locally proportional to resistivity.

• Setting $\zeta = \Omega = 0$, the Ω equation is

$$0 = \nabla \cdot (\eta \nabla \chi \times \vec{j}),$$

- Thus, the reduction error is also locally proportional to resistivity
- Reduction error is also locally proportional to perpendicular current, which arises due to nonzero parallel derivatives of Ψ and g^{ik} , discussed on the next slide

• If the vorticity equation holds, the Navier-Stokes equation is also satisfied



- If the vorticity equation holds, the Navier-Stokes equation is also satisfied
- The first projection operator selects the contravariant χ -component of a vorticity-type equation
- Non-conservation is estimated by comparing the perpendicular components to the contravariant χ -component



- If the vorticity equation holds, the Navier-Stokes equation is also satisfied
- The first projection operator selects the contravariant χ -component of a vorticity-type equation
- Non-conservation is estimated by comparing the perpendicular components to the contravariant χ-component
- Non-conservation is proportional to the parallel derivatives of: g^{ik} , Φ , Ψ , $v_{||}$, p, ρ and P, where g^{ik} is the metric tensor of the vacuum field-aligned coordinate system



- If the vorticity equation holds, the Navier-Stokes equation is also satisfied
- The first projection operator selects the contravariant χ -component of a vorticity-type equation
- Non-conservation is estimated by comparing the perpendicular components to the contravariant χ-component
- Non-conservation is proportional to the parallel derivatives of: g^{ik} , Φ , Ψ , $v_{||}$, p, ρ and P, where g^{ik} is the metric tensor of the vacuum field-aligned coordinate system
 - Exact for, e.g., strictly axisymmetric dynamics in a tokamak



- If the vorticity equation holds, the Navier-Stokes equation is also satisfied
- The first projection operator selects the contravariant χ -component of a vorticity-type equation
- Non-conservation is estimated by comparing the perpendicular components to the contravariant χ-component
- Non-conservation is proportional to the parallel derivatives of: g^{ik} , Φ , Ψ , $v_{||}$, p, ρ and P, where g^{ik} is the metric tensor of the vacuum field-aligned coordinate system
 - Exact for, e.g., strictly axisymmetric dynamics in a tokamak
 - ⁻ Validity conditions: for all of the scalars above, $|\partial^{||}| << |\nabla^{\perp}|$



- If the vorticity equation holds, the Navier-Stokes equation is also satisfied
- The first projection operator selects the contravariant χ -component of a vorticity-type equation
- Non-conservation is estimated by comparing the perpendicular components to the contravariant χ-component
- Non-conservation is proportional to the parallel derivatives of: g^{ik} , Φ , Ψ , $v_{||}$, p, ρ and P, where g^{ik} is the metric tensor of the vacuum field-aligned coordinate system
 - Exact for, e.g., strictly axisymmetric dynamics in a tokamak
 - Validity conditions: for all of the scalars above, $|\partial^{||}| << |\nabla^{\perp}|$
 - Error is small if the magnetic field is sufficiently strong that perpendicular dynamics are much slower than parallel dynamics



- If the vorticity equation holds, the Navier-Stokes equation is also satisfied
- The first projection operator selects the contravariant χ -component of a vorticity-type equation
- Non-conservation is estimated by comparing the perpendicular components to the contravariant χ-component
- Non-conservation is proportional to the parallel derivatives of: g^{ik} , Φ , Ψ , $v_{||}$, p, ρ and P, where g^{ik} is the metric tensor of the vacuum field-aligned coordinate system
 - Exact for, e.g., strictly axisymmetric dynamics in a tokamak
 - Validity conditions: for all of the scalars above, $|\partial^{||}| << |\nabla^{\perp}|$
 - Error is small if the magnetic field is sufficiently strong that perpendicular dynamics are much slower than parallel dynamics
 - Should be a good approximation for most magnetic confinement devices



• A physics-based ordering can be used to obtain a similar result



- A physics-based ordering can be used to obtain a similar result
- Let λ be the small parameter and order as follows (mostly a generalization of (Strauss, 1997)):
 - O(1): ρ , B_{v} , F_{v} , $\kappa_{||}$, ∇^{\perp}
 - $O(\lambda)$: Ψ , Φ , $v_{||}$, η , v, D_{\perp} , κ_{\perp} , S_{ρ} , $\partial^{||}$, $\partial/\partial t$
 - $O(\lambda^2)$: ζ, p, Ω
 - $O(\lambda^3)$: Ω , S_e



- A physics-based ordering can be used to obtain a similar result
- Let λ be the small parameter and order as follows (mostly a generalization of (Strauss, 1997)):
 - O(1): ρ , B_{ν} , F_{ν} , κ_{\parallel} , ∇^{\perp}
 - $O(\lambda)$: Ψ , Φ , $v_{||}$, η , v, D_{\perp} , κ_{\perp} , S_{ρ} , $\partial^{||}$, $\partial/\partial t$
 - $O(\lambda^2)$: ζ , p, Ω
 - $O(\lambda^3)$: Ω , S_e
- Applying this ordering to the full MHD equations, we get similar, though much simpler equations with the following major differences from the nonordering-based equations:



- A physics-based ordering can be used to obtain a similar result
- Let λ be the small parameter and order as follows (mostly a generalization of (Strauss, 1997)):
 - O(1): ρ , B_{ν} , F_{ν} , κ_{\parallel} , ∇^{\perp}
 - $O(\lambda)$: Ψ , Φ , $v_{||}$, η , v, D_{\perp} , κ_{\perp} , S_{ρ} , $\partial^{||}$, $\partial/\partial t$
 - $O(\lambda^2)$: ζ , p, Ω
 - $O(\lambda^3)$: Ω , S_e
- Applying this ordering to the full MHD equations, we get similar, though much simpler equations with the following major differences from the nonordering-based equations:
 - Advection due to parallel velocity is neglected due to being higher order than ExB advection



- A physics-based ordering can be used to obtain a similar result
- Let λ be the small parameter and order as follows (mostly a generalization of (Strauss, 1997)):
 - O(1): ρ , B_{v} , F_{v} , $\kappa_{||}$, ∇^{\perp}
 - $O(\lambda)$: Ψ , Φ , $v_{||}$, η , v, D_{\perp} , κ_{\perp} , S_{ρ} , $\partial^{||}$, $\partial/\partial t$
 - $O(\lambda^2)$: ζ , p, Ω
 - $O(\lambda^3)$: Ω , S_e
- Applying this ordering to the full MHD equations, we get similar, though much simpler equations with the following major differences from the non-ordering-based equations:
 - Advection due to parallel velocity is neglected due to being higher order than ExB advection
 - In the Φ and $v_{||}$ equations, **B** is approximated by B_v in the time derivative, Lorentz force term and projection operator



- A physics-based ordering can be used to obtain a similar result
- Let λ be the small parameter and order as follows (mostly a generalization of (Strauss, 1997)):
 - O(1): ρ , B_{ν} , F_{ν} , κ_{\parallel} , ∇^{\perp}
 - $O(\lambda)$: Ψ , Φ , $v_{||}$, η , v, D_{\perp} , κ_{\perp} , S_{ρ} , $\partial^{||}$, $\partial/\partial t$
 - $O(\lambda^2)$: ζ , p, Ω
 - $O(\lambda^3)$: Ω , S_e
- Applying this ordering to the full MHD equations, we get similar, though much simpler equations with the following major differences from the nonordering-based equations:
 - Advection due to parallel velocity is neglected due to being higher order than ExB advection
 - In the Φ and $v_{||}$ equations, **B** is approximated by B_v in the time derivative, Lorentz force term and projection operator
 - Hydrodynamic and ram pressure drop from the v_{11} equation



Summary

- Derived a hierarchy of MHD models suitable for stellarators: full MHD, reduced MHD with parallel velocity, reduced MHD without parallel velocity
- Also suitable for astrophysical systems with a strong guide field, since toroidal geometry was not assumed in the derivation
- Divergence free field and good conservation properties

Next steps



- Equations are presently being implemented in the JOREK non-linear MHD code [Huysmans and Czarny]
- Tokamak limit should be similar to the present JOREK and will be tested as next step
- Then, extend JOREK grid to 3D and investigate quasi-axisymmetric stellarators



References used



- Henneberg, S., et al, Design of a new quasi-axisymmetric stellarator (presentation, 2018).
- William D D'haeseleer, William NG Hitchon, James D Callen, and J Leon Shohet. Flux coordinates and magnetic field structure: a guide to a fundamental tool of plasma theory. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- Friedberg, J., *Ideal MHD*, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- GTA Huysmans and O Czarny. MHD stability in X-point geometry: simulation of ELMs. *Nuclear Fusion*, 47, 659, 2007.
- J Breslau, N Ferraro, and S Jardin. Some properties of the M3D-C 1 form of the threedimensional magnetohydrodynamics equations. *Physics of Plasmas*, 16(9):092503, 2009.
- HR Strauss. Reduced MHD in nearly potential magnetic fields. *Journal of Plasma Physics*, 57(1):83–87, 1997.
- Emmanuel Franck, Matthias Hölzl, Alexander Lessig, and Eric Sonnendrücker. Energy conservation and numerical stability for the reduced MHD models of the non-linear JOREK code. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 49(5):1331–1365, 2015.
- HR Strauss. Nonlinear, three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics of noncircular tokamaks. *The Physics of Fluids*, 19(1):134–140, 1976.
- HR Strauss. Dynamics of high β tokamaks. *The Physics of Fluids*, 20(8):1354–1360, 1977.
- HR Strauss. Stellarator equations of motion. *Plasma Physics*, 22(7):733, 1980.
- Scott E Kruger, Chris C Hegna, and James D Callen. Generalized reduced magnetohydrodynamic equations. *Physics of Plasmas*, 5(12):4169–4182, 1998.